Application No.: 10/575,168

Amendment Under 37 C.F.R. §1.111

Attorney Docket No.: 062228

REMARKS

Claims 3-5 are currently pending. Claim 3 is amended herein. Support for the

amendment is at least found at pages 15-18 and Figs. 16-18 of the specification.

Applicants' undersigned representative thanks Examiner Durham for the courtesies

extended during the telephone interview of November 12, 2008. Applicants' separate record of

the substance of the interview is incorporates in the remarks below.

Applicants' Response to the Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §102

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by U.S.

Patent No. 1,179,596 to Wordingham.

Applicants respectfully submit that the present invention as now presneted is not

anticipated by Wordingham as the reference does not teach each and every aspect of the claimed

invention either expressly or inherently. Specifically, Wordingham at least fails to disclose a

wall as required by claim 3.

As discussed during the interview, the current rejection maintains that the wall is not a

part of the claim language of previously presented claim 3 but is only descriptive functional

language. In response thereto, applicants have clarified the language of claim 3 so as to clearly

require a combination wall and cloths hanger device, for example as depicted in the detailed

embodiment illustrated in Figs. 16 and 17.

- 4 -

Application No.: 10/575,168 Amendment Under 37 C.F.R. §1.111

Attorney Docket No.: 062228

As agreed during the interview, the amendment of claim 3 clearly includes the wall so as

to overcome the rejection based on Wordingham. Wherefore, applicants respectfully request

favorable reconsideration.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wordingham

(U.S. Patent 1,179,596) in view of Kolton et al. (U.S. Patent 5,501,378).

Applicants respectfully submit that since the rejection depends on that of claim 3, by

addressing the rejection of claim 3, as detailed above, the rejection of claim 5 should likewise be

considered addressed by nature of its dependency.

In view of the aforementioned amendments and accompanying remarks, Applicants

submit that the claims, as herein amended, are in condition for allowance. Applicants request

such action at an early date.

If the Examiner believes that this application is not now in condition for allowance, the

Examiner is requested to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney to arrange for an interview to

expedite the disposition of this case.

- 5 -

Application No.: 10/575,168 Amendment Under 37 C.F.R. §1.111

Attorney Docket No.: 062228

If this paper is not timely filed, Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. The fees for such an extension or any other fees that may be due with respect to this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP

Michael J. Caridi Attorney for Applicants Registration No. 56,171

Telephone: (202) 822-1100 Facsimile: (202) 822-1111

MJC/rer